IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY SEVENTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO : 9875 of 2005

Between:

Ch.L.N.Murthy (E.No.454941),

Ch.V. Kantha Raju, aged 38 years,

Occ: Ex.Conductor, R/o. Near Durgalamma Temple,

Sabbavaram, Visakhapatnam,

Visakhapatnam District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. The A.P. State Road Transport Corporation,

Rep. By its Executive Director, Vijayawada &

Vizianagaram, Jubilee Hills Bus Station Complex,

Secunderabad.

2. Regional Manager, APSRTC, Visakhapatnam,

Visakhapatnam District.

3. Divisional Manager, APSRTC,

Visakhapatnam, Visakhapatnam District.

4. Depot Manager. APSRTC, Visakhapatnam,

Visakhapatnam District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 1st respondent in not disposing of the representation petition of the petitioner dated 5-4-2005 including the impugned order of the 2nd respondent dated 28-1-2005 vide proceedings No.PA/19(03)/2005-RM:VSP, confirming the order of removal passed by the 4th respondent while rejecting review petition of the petitioner as arbitrary, illegal and contrary and consequently direct the respondents to reinstate the petitioner into service forthwith will all consequential benefits including back wages etc.

Counsel for the Petitioner :Mr.CHALLARI NAGESWARA RAO

Counsel for the Respondents : KUM.B.G.UMA DEVI

The Court at the stage of admission made the following :

ORDER:

Seeking to declare the action of the 1st respondent in not disposing of the representation petition of the petitioner dated

5-4-2005 including the impugned order of the 2nd respondent dated 28-1-2005 vide proceedings No.PA/19(03)/2005-RM:VSP, confirming the order of removal passed by the 4th respondent while rejecting review petition of the petitioner as arbitrary and illegal, the present writ petition is filed.

Heard the counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the respondents.

There is no statutory obligation casts upon the Executive Director to pass any orders on the disciplinary matter.

Therefore, the writ petition is totally devoid of merits and the same is liable to be dismissed. The remedy is left open to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. There is no order as to costs.

_____

K.C.KBHANU, J.

Dated: 27..04..2005.

rns
To

1. The Executive Director,

A.P. State Road Transport Corporation,

Vijayawada & Vizianagaram,

Jubilee Hills Bus Station Complex,

Secunderabad.

2. Regional Manager, APSRTC, Visakhapatnam,

Visakhapatnam District.

3. Divisional Manager, APSRTC,

Visakhapatnam, Visakhapatnam District.

4. Depot Manager. APSRTC, Visakhapatnam,

Visakhapatnam District.

5. Two CD copies